



ADDENDUM # 7

RFP # FY 2017-2018-008

LICENSE PLATE RECOGNITION SYSTEM INSTALLATION AND LEASE

Please ensure you check the City's website for the latest addendum released for this project. Below find the link to the City's website: www.cohb.org/solicitations.

Firm must provide this form signed by an authorized officer of your firm to acknowledge receipt of ADDENDUM # 7 and provide with your firm's response.

PLEASE NOTE:

Question #1:

The minimum qualification requirements (MQRs) referenced on page 3 and listed on page 9 of the RFP do not include a significant requirement that should be documented. Page 3 states "The City will only accept proposals from a System Integrator that will serve as the Prime Contractor, herein referred to as "the Proposer". The requirements of the "Contractor" should be listed as an MQR on page #9 in order to meet the State of Florida requirements for CCTV systems contracting:

- Prime contractor must be a State certified General or Electrical contractor. The classifications of qualified Electrical Contractors are provided in the attached document "Know your Scope". A properly qualified System Integrator will hold an EC, EF or EG State license. A copy of the license should be included as a requirement in the RFP.

- The State Electrical Contractor's Licensing Board classifies CCTV as an "alarm system" and as such, all employees performing the scope of work should carry a BASA (Burglar Alarm Systems Agent) pocket card, demonstrating that the employees have met the minimum requirements established by the State Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DPBR). Acknowledgement of this requirement should be part of the MQR.

- The prime respondent may legally utilize subcontractors to execute the scope of work, but in order to do so, must demonstrate that he/she holds a State Contractor's license. Inclusion of a subcontractor's license is not sufficient to demonstrate compliance with the DPBR rules regarding contracting. The prime respondent must hold a contracting license as well; regardless of whether or not they intend to self-perform the work.

Answer #1:

No. There will be no additional Minimum Qualification Requirements added to this RFP.

Question #2:

Scope item #6 on page 6 describes the "Permitting and Installation" phase of the project. In order to satisfy the requirement(s) for City permits, will the Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) require engineered signed & sealed drawings for the poles, mounting hardware and electrical power connections? If so, should the Proposer list the Engineer of Record as a subcontractor in the proposal response?

Answer #2:

Yes. The Engineer of Record should be listed as a sub-contractor.

Question #3:

Scope item #7 on page 6 describes the Ingress Protection requirement of the equipment. However, there is no mention of whether or not the enclosure assemblies need to be UL listed. This requirement has been enforced by several AHJs recently and does have a cost impact on the selection of the equipment. Please specify whether or not this will be a requirement of the City's electrical inspector.

Answer #3:

Yes. All equipment installed within the City must be UL rated.

Question #4:

Scope item #10 on page 6 describes the communications design for the project. If cellular modems are used to transport the data to City server, will the proposal cost need to include the recurring data costs for the life of the proposed lease? Or will the City allow the modems to be assigned to an existing City data account to pay the cost directly outside of the lease?

Answer #4:

Please include all pricing including cost of data.

Question #5:

Please confirm if both real-time events and video search queries are required for the cloud-based analytics.

Answer #5:

LPR system proposed is not required to record video, therefore video search will not be required.

Question #6:

Please confirm what analytics will be required for investigations and exporting for reports.

Answer #6:

See RFP document, Page 6. LPR technology offers a variety of investigation tools. At the minimum, the Proposer shall confirm that the alert feature occurs when captured plates match "hot list" plates match being made by system. Proposer shall detail what additional analytic tools the LPR technology may provide for investigative purposes. Also, if there are reports that could be used to deter or solve crime, these should be outlined.

Question #7:

Please confirm what analytics will be required for 'other City defined purposes' and exporting for reports.

Answer #7:

LPR technology offers a variety of reporting tools. Proposer shall detail what analytic tools LPR system can perform for purposes of public safety. The goal is to recognize and bring awareness of vehicles associated with "hot lists". If additional analytic tools are available beyond this purpose, for City management, Proposer shall outline these.

Question #8:

Is it an acceptable alternative to run the analytics on 'server infrastructure' installed within the City Hall Data Center?

Answer #8:

Yes.

Question #9:

Is there a local preference?

Answer #9:

No.

Question #10:

If existing infrastructure is available, is it permitted to utilize?

Answer #10:

Assume there is no infrastructure.

Question #11:

What are the notification methods if a match is found between the LPR detection system and the BOLO "black list"?

Answer #11:

Proposer shall detail what notification methods proposed system is able to perform. Also, Proposer shall detail how immediate the notification will be and to what channels/media will the notification be made to.

Question #12:

How much space / power / connectivity is available at the city to house server equipment?

Answer #12:

Assume there is sufficient space/power/connectivity for equipment. Proposer shall outline what are the minimal space, power and connectivity requirements are needed for LPR system to function optimally.

Question #13:

Who is responsible to pay recurring costs for power at the sites?

Answer #13:

The City is responsible for paying for power.

Question #14:

Who is responsible to pay for infrastructure and power permits at the sites?

Answer #14:

See RFP document, page 4, Permits.

Question #15:

If GSM connectivity is employed, who is responsible for paying recurring costs?

Answer #15:

The City will be responsible. Proposer shall outline what estimated costs will be and provide a breakdown for GSM costs, if this is part of the proposal.

Question #16:

What local / state / federal agencies does the city want the contractor to interact with for purposes of developing / maintaining the BOLO "black list"?

Answer #16:

See RFP document, Page 6, Items 1 and 2. The system should interact with any agencies it deems necessary to develop/maintain an accurate and relevant hot list. Hot lists sources, at a minimum, shall come from FDLE, FCIC and NCIC databases.

Question #17:

Can we utilize the local structures to gain the best viewing position and angle? For instance, can we use the local traffic signal or street light poles.

Answer #17:

The Proposer should assume there is no current infrastructure available. The traffic signals are controlled by Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and the light poles by Florida Power and Light (FPL). The Proposer should assume permission will not be granted by either entity.

Question #18:

Can we assume there will be 110-VAC local to each LPR camera location?

Answer #18:

The Proposer should assume there is no infrastructure available.

Question #19:

Please list all locations that has poles we can use.

Answer #19:

See answer #18.

Question #20:

Please list the preferred fiber headend locations for each LPR camera location.

Answer #20:

Proposer shall make recommendations where they seem best fit to use fiber. The City will not be providing this feedback. Proposer shall provide best solution.

Question #21:

Can we propose cradle and sim card as a data transmission medium?

Answer #21:

Yes, if that is method for data backhaul, then Proposer shall detail this and provide estimated costs for sim card. Assume on-going sim card data wireless services can be paid by the City.

Question #22:

Please list the areas the mobile LPR stanchions could be set up?

Answer #22:

On the side or in the middle of the road anywhere in the City.

Question #23:

Does the City of Hallandale Beach own all traffic safety signals in the City limits?

- a. If they are owned by the City, what permits and/or engineered drawings would need to be obtained in order to mount on the devices?
- b. If not all signals are owned and maintained by the City, could a map of roadway structured owned by the city, where we may be permitted to install, be provided?

Answer #23:

See answer #17.

Question #24:

In areas in which a pole must be installed, what requirements will be enforced on the placement and type of pole used? Will there be any restrictions on overhead arms installed on new or existing poles?

Answer #24:

The requirements and restrictions for pole and overhead arms are outlined by City and State permitting agencies and associated building codes. The Proposer should review the regulations required for installation.

Question #25:

Does the city own the overhead gantry near 2500 E. Hallandale Beach Blvd?

Answer #25:

No.

Question #26:

Site 10, there are two roads, both north/south traffic, divided by a railroad tracks, are you looking to have all lanes, northbound and southbound, on both sides of the tracks?

Answer #26:

Yes.

Question #27:

We request the due date be changed to provide additional time for responses as final solution depends on answers to above questions and subsequent site surveys.

Answer #27:

No change to Response Due Date.

Question #28:

Could the City please provide a consolidated price sheet with all changes sent?

Answer #28:

See RFP document, pages 14-16, Price Sheets. Proposer must provide costs using the three (3) price sheets provided. Any variances, additions, or changes to the Price Sheets must be outlined in the Variance Form found in the RFP document on page 31.

Question #29:

How is the City of Hallandale going to pay the capital cost of each location if the duration of the lease agreement seems to be uncertain and depending on city budget allowances. Please refer to Page 14 of the RFP. "The City requires all proposals to be structured as a five (5) year lease and maintenance plan. This five (5) year plan will be re-evaluated every year as part of the City's budget process and budgeting for the program is dependent upon City Commission approval."

Answer #29:

Assume that the lease is a five (5) year period. Payment of lease shall start once system is accepted and operational. Assume that each year lease will be funded for the five (5) year period.

PLEASE NOTE RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM # 7 BY SIGNING BELOW AND INCLUDE WITH YOUR FIRM'S SUBMISSION.

I ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM # 7:

Company	
Name	
Title	
Signature	
Date	

Sincerely,



Andrea Lues, Director, Procurement Department